# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

-v- Case Number:15-20550

NGK Insulators, Ltd.

Defendant.

GUILTY PLEA AND SENTENCING

BEFORE THE HONORABLE GEORGE C. STEEH UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

236 U. S. Courthouse & Federal Building 231 West Lafayette Boulevard West Detroit, Michigan 48226 MONDAY, NOVEMBER  $16^{\mathrm{TH}}$ , 2015

#### **APPEARANCES:**

For the Plaintiff: KENNETH W.GAUL, Assistant United

States Attorney

MICHAEL H. PINE, Assistant United

1

States Attorney

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

ANTITRUST DIVISION

For the Defendant: MICHAEL KENDALL, ESQ.

McDermott Will & Emory

ROBERT B. BELL, ESQ.

Hughes Hubbards

#### APPEARANCES (CONTINUING):

JENNIFER B. PATTERSON, ESQ. Kaye Scholar

2

GREGORY J. WALLACE, ESQ. Kaye Scholar

JAMES THOMAS, ESQ. Local Counsel

To Obtain Certified Transcript, Contact:
JOAN L. MORGAN, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
734 812-2672

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography. Transcript produced by computer-assisted transcription.

	GUILTY PLEA AND SENTENCING MONDAY, NOVEMBER $16^{\text{TH}}$ , 2015
1	Detroit, Michigan
2	Monday, November 16 <sup>th</sup> , 2015
3	(At or about 2:15 p.m.)
4	
5	THE CLERK: Case number 15-20550, United States of
6	America versus NGK Insulators, Limited.
7	THE COURT: Good afternoon.
8	MR. GAUL: Good afternoon, your Honor.
9	Kenneth Gaul, for the United States, and with me
10	today is my colleague, Michael Pine, whom I don't believe
11	has had the honor of meeting you yet.
12	MR. PINE: Good afternoon, your Honor.
13	THE COURT: And, Mr. Thomas?
14	MR. THOMAS: Well, your Honor, I'm accompanied by
15	several lawyers who are going to speak today.
16	THE COURT: Oh, I see.
17	MR. THOMAS: I have the privilege of being local
18	counsel, and I'm probably going to sit down.
19	MR. KENDALL: Good afternoon, your Honor.
20	My name is Mike Kendall. I'm with the firm of
21	McDermott Will and Emery.
22	Also here for NGK is Robert Bell, Jennifer
23	Patterson, and Greg WALLACE.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Welcome. This is a rare occasion
25	I won't be hearing from Mr. Thomas.

#### GUILTY PLEA AND SENTENCING 4 MONDAY, NOVEMBER 16<sup>TH</sup>, 2015 1 All right. If you'd like to take the podium along 2 with your corporate representative. I see -- do we have the assistance of an 3 4 interpreter today as well? 5 MS. SOMERS: Yes. THE COURT: All right, although I understand 6 7 you're just functioning in a backup capacity today; is that 8 right? 9 THE INTERPRETER: I would like to answer as much 10 as I can in English. However, to make sure that I 11 understand correctly I also would like to my interpreter from time to time. 12 THE COURT: All right. 13 14 Ma'am, could you state your name for us? 15 MS. SOMERS: My name is Ayumi Somers. THE COURT: And I'm going to have you take an 16 17 oath. If you would raise your right hand, please? THE CLERK: Do you solemnly or affirm that you 18 will justly, truly, fairly and impartially act as an 19 20 interpreter in the case now before the Court so help you 21 God? 22 MS. SOMERS: Yes, I do. 23 THE COURT: All right. Well, the Court has been

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA -V- NGK INSULATORS

Mr. Kendall, are you going to be doing the

presented today with a number of documents.

24

#### GUILTY PLEA AND SENTENCING MONDAY, NOVEMBER 16<sup>TH</sup>, 2015 1 lead --2 MR. KENDALL: Mr. Bell and I will be doing it, 3 yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So, I gather from these 4 5 documents that the corporate defendant wishes to plead guilty to the charges in Counts One and Two of the 6 7 Information; is that right? 8 MR. KENDALL: Yes. 9 THE COURT: Okay. And would you like to, again, 10 introduce your corporate representative? 11 MR. KENDALL: Yes. This is Mr. Saji. He is 12 presently the company's chief compliance officer. He is also -- was the head of the law department who oversaw the 13 14 internal investigation and cooperation with the United 15 States Government on this matter. 16 THE COURT: I see. Okay. Thank you. 17 Mr. Saji, we're going to have you sworn also. If 18 you would raise your right hand? THE CLERK: Do you solemnly swear the testimony 19 20 you're about to give to the Court in the matter herein 21 pending is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the 22 truth so help you God? 23 MR. SAJI: Yes, I do. 24 THE COURT: All right. Could you state your name

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA -V- NGK INSULATORS

25

for us?

#### GUILTY PLEA AND SENTENCING 6 MONDAY, NOVEMBER 16<sup>TH</sup>, 2015 1 MR. SAJI: My name is Nobumitsu Saji. 2 THE COURT: Mr. Saji, you hold the corporate capacity with the defendant in this case as described by 3 4 Mr. Kendall? 5 MR. SAJI: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: And you have been given authority to 6 7 enter a plea in this case by the Board of Directors of the defendant? 8 9 MR. SAJI: Yes. 10 THE COURT: All right. Can you tell me how old you are, sir? 11 12 MR. SAJI: Fifty-seven years old now. 13 THE COURT: Okay. And you have some facility to 14 read and write the English language? 15 MR. SAJI: Yes, I do. THE COURT: And, obviously, to speak it as well. 16 17 MR. SAJI: Yes. THE COURT: You -- again, if you don't understand 18 something being said during this time here you'll have us 19 20 repeat and we'll do the same with you; all right? 21 MR. SAJI: Yes, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Okay. The Court didn't enumerate all 23 of the documents that are placed before it, but will be 24 addressing them in turn.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA -V- NGK INSULATORS

Among those documents is a document called a

GUILTY PLEA AND SENTENCING

#### MONDAY, NOVEMBER 16<sup>TH</sup>, 2015 1 Waiver of Indictment. Do you recall reading that item, sir? 2 MR. SAJI: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Do you understand that you have an 3 4 absolute right to enter a not quilty plea in this case. And 5 in the event you were to do so, the case would not proceed 6 further unless and until a grand jury had the opportunity to consider the case for indictment; do you understand 7 8 that? MR. SAJI: Yes, your Honor. 9 10 THE COURT: Okay. You understand from your 11 discussions with counsel how a grand jury and the United 12 States operates? 13 MR. SAJI: Yes. 14 THE COURT: And so you understand that if the 15 matter were presented to the grand jury and the grand jury 16 determined not to charge the defendant with a violation the 17 case would end at that point? MR. SAJI: Yes. 18 THE COURT: You have decided, however, apparently 19 20 to waive your right, that is, not your right, but your 21 company's right to an indictment is this case; is that 22 right? 23 MR. SAJI: Yes, your Honor. 24 THE COURT: And that decision is made I expect 25 based upon the same promises that are made to you in this

#### GUILTY PLEA AND SENTENCING 8 MONDAY, NOVEMBER 16<sup>TH</sup>, 2015 1 Rule 11 Plea Agreement that's been presented to the Court; 2 is that also correct? MR. SAJI: Yes. 3 THE COURT: Do you understand that the corporation 4 5 in this case has been charged with two violations, conspiracy to restrain trade and destruction of documents 6 7 with the intent to obstruct an ongoing investigation; do 8 you understand that? 9 MR. SAJI: Yes, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: And you understand in relation to the 11 charge of conspiracy to restrain trade that the maximum 12 sentence for that violation is the greatest amount among three potential sums in this case. First, a hundred million 13 14 dollars. Second, twice the pecuniary gain that the 15 conspirators realized from the crime. And thirdly, twice 16 the pecuniary loss caused to the victims of the crime by 17 the conspirators. Do you understand that the greatest among those three figures would be the maximum sentence that the 18 Court could impose for the violation? 19 20 MR. SAJI: Yes, your Honor. 21 THE COURT: And do you understand that the charges 22 in Count Two of obstruction of justice carry a maximum fine 23 of five hundred thousand dollars as well; do you understand 24 that?

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA -V- NGK INSULATORS

MR. SAJI: Yes.

1 THE COURT: Do you understand that the Court in 2 relation to both charges may consider the imposition of a term of probation of at least one year, not more than five 3 4 years for the violations; do you understand that? 5 MR. SAJI: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: And in addition, the Court may 6 consider ordering restitution to the victims of the 7 8 offenses charged in both Counts One and Two; do you 9 understand that? 10 MR. SAJI: Yes. 11 THE COURT: Again, your company's decision to 12 plead quilty to these charges is based upon the mutual 13 promises set forth in the Rule 11 Plea Agreement that has 14 been presented to the Court; is that accurate? 15 MR. SAJI: Yes. 16 THE COURT: And that agreement contemplates a 17 likely sentence of 65.3 million dollars to be imposed as a 18 criminal fine in relation to these quilty pleas; do you understand that? 19 20 MR. SAJI: Yes. 21 THE COURT: In addition, the company promises to 22 fully cooperate with the Government in its investigation of 23 the wrongdoing in this case as well as any other wrongdoing 24 that it may be aware of; do you understand that promise? 25 MR. SAJI: Yes.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA -V- NGK INSULATORS

1 THE COURT: And in connection with the sentence to 2 be imposed, again, if the Court accepts the Rule 11 Agreement in this case, the Court could be expected to 3 impose no term of probation, and no order of restitution in 4 5 the case; do you understand that? MR. SAJI: Yes, your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: The judgment of the Court, however, 8 would include a special assessment in the amount of four 9 hundred dollars for each of the two violations; do you 10 understand that? 11 MR. SAJI: Yes. 12 THE COURT: Do you understand that the Government 13 for its part also makes several promises to the company as 14 part of this agreement? MR. SAJI: Yes, your Honor. 15 16 THE COURT: One of those promises is that the 17 Government will understand no effort to prosecute others including directors, employees of the defendant for these 18 violations except for those individuals who are identified 19 20 in Attachment A to the Plea Agreement; do you understand 21 that?

MR. SAJI: Yes.

23

24

25

THE COURT: In addition, the Government agrees to facilitate travel for employees and officers of the corporation back and forth between the United States and

	GUILTY PLEA AND SENTENCING 11 MONDAY, NOVEMBER $16^{\text{TH}}$ , 2015
1	Japan; do you understand?
2	MR. SAJI: Yes.
3	THE COURT: And in addition you understand that
4	there may be action undertaken in the nature of enforcement
5	action by other agencies of the United States Government
6	that are not necessarily agreeing to a hands off approach
7	with the company in this case; do you understand that?
8	MR. SAJI: Yes, your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Gaul or Mr. Bell, are there
10	any other promises as a part of this agreement that you
11	want to make sure are covered in the colloqoy this
12	afternoon?
13	MR. GAUL: No, your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Bell?
15	MR. BELL: I believe one of the attachments to the
16	Rule 11 Plea Agreement provides for amnesty or non-
17	prosecution of certain former employees. I just wanted to
18	call that to the Court's attention and make sure that's in
19	the record.
20	THE COURT: Yes, those are listed separately from
21	the ones I've identified; right?
22	MR. GAUL: Mr. Bell is referring to Attachment B.
23	THE COURT: B, okay. Yes, then the Court does have
24	that as part of this package as well.
25	So, Mr. Saji, has anyone on behalf of the

GUILTY PLEA AND SENTENCING

#### MONDAY, NOVEMBER 16<sup>TH</sup>, 2015 1 Government promised you, your company anything in this case 2 that is not included as a part of the Rule 11 Agreement that has been signed? 3 4 MR. SAJI: No, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: And has anyone tried to force your 6 company to plead guilty to these charges by any duress or 7 mistreatment of any kind that has not been identified here? MR. SAJI: No, your Honor. 8 9 THE COURT: So you believe that the company has 10 agreed to plead guilty freely and voluntarily because in 11 its judgment it is guilty of these violations? 12 MR. SAJI: Yes, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Do you understand that the company has 14 an absolute right to plead not quilty in the case and to 15 have a trial instead if it wishes? 16 MR. SAJI: Yes. 17 THE COURT: And do you understand that by pleading 18 quilty it's giving up its right to have a trial and a whole series of rights that we're going to discuss here. 19 20 MR. SAJI: Yes. 21 THE COURT: And it's giving up its right to a 22 number of legal protections that are also going to be 23 identified including the right to challenge the 24 jurisdiction of this court to even hear the case. MR. SAJI: Yes, your Honor. 25

### GUILTY PLEA AND SENTENCING MONDAY, NOVEMBER 16<sup>TH</sup>, 2015

1 THE COURT: Do you understand that if the case 2 were to go to trial the company has a right to be represented by an attorney throughout the trial and could 3 cross-examine all of the witnesses called to testify 4 5 against it? MR. SAJI: Yes. 6 7 THE COURT: I'm not sure this has ever happened, 8 but I suppose the company would also have the right to have 9 the Court appoint counsel to represent it if it could not 10 afford to hire one. 11 MR. SAJI: Yes, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Do you understand that the 13 company would be presumed innocent of these charges until 14 proven guilty by the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt? 15 MR. SAJI: Yes. 16 THE COURT: And that the company during the course of the trial could have the court order witnesses into 17 court to testify for it at the trial if it wishes? 18 MR. SAJI: Yes. 19 THE COURT: You understand that in connection with 20 21 the Government's burden of proof at a trial that it must 22 prove each element of each charge in this case before it 23 could be found guilty of a particular charge? 24 MR. SAJI: Yes.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA -V- NGK INSULATORS

THE COURT: So in relationship to the charge,

25

GUILTY PLEA AND SENTENCING

#### MONDAY, NOVEMBER 16<sup>TH</sup>, 2015 1 conspiracy to restrain trade, the company would first have 2 to prove the existence of a criminal conspiracy to in this case basically fix bids; do you understand that? 3 4 MR. SAJI: Yes. 5 THE COURT: And then once the Government has satisfied that burden to prove the conspiracy it would have 6 7 to also prove that your company knowingly and voluntarily 8 entered into that conspiracy; do you understand that? 9 MR. SAJI: Yes, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: And then, thirdly, the Government 11 would have to prove that the conspiracy substantially 12 affected interstate or foreign commerce in connection with it; do you understand that? 13 14 MR. SAJI: Yes. 15 THE COURT: As it relates to the obstruction of 16 justice charge, do you understand that the Government would 17 first have to prove that the company undertook various 18 actions to destroy, conceal, or withhold evidence of the conspiracy to restrain trade that we just talked about; do 19 20 you understand that? 21 MR. SAJI: Yes. 22 THE COURT: And, secondly, that it took action to 23 these actions to destroy, concealed or withhold evidence with the intent to obstruct, influence or impede an 24 25 official proceeding investigating these potential anti-

#### GUILTY PLEA AND SENTENCING 15 MONDAY, NOVEMBER 16<sup>TH</sup>, 2015 1 trust law violations; do you understand that? 2 MR. SAJI: Yes, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Okay. MR. GAUL: Your Honor, if I may, the elements of 4 5 18 USC Section 1512 also include attempts which is relevant to the facts of this case. 6 THE COURT: I see. 7 So that the proof need not be that the effort to 8 9 destroy, conceal this evidence was necessarily successful 10 as long as the jury concludes that it was undertaken with 11 that intent, that certain actions were undertaken with the 12 intent that it succeed; do you understand that? 13 MR. SAJI: Yes, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Gaul. 15 All right. So by pleading guilty there will be no 16 trial so your company is necessarily waiving or giving up 17 the right to require the Government to establish these elements beyond a reasonable doubt; do you understand that? 18 19 MR. SAJI: Yes. 20 THE COURT: Okay. So understanding the likely 21 consequences of your plea of guilty and understanding the 22 rights that you're giving up on behalf of the corporation 23 to do so do you still wish to plead guilty to these 24 charges? 25 MR. SAJI: Yes, your Honor.

1 THE COURT: How then do you wish to plead to the 2 charge of conspiracy to restrain trade, quilty or not quilty? 3 4 MR. SAJI: Guilty. 5 THE COURT: And how do you wish to plead for the corporation to the charges in Count Two of obstruction of 6 7 justice, quilty or not quilty? 8 MR. SAJI: Guilty. 9 THE COURT: Okay. And I'm going to ask that you 10 explain to the Court what the company did that leads it to 11 conclude it is guilty of these violations? I have been 12 presented with a statement that I understand you have worked out, your company has worked out with counsel and 13 14 you intend to read that statement; is that right? 15 MR. SAJI: Yes, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Go ahead, sir. 17 MR. SAJI: During the period from approximately 18 July, 2000, through February, 2010, except for conduct relating to Nissan Motor Company, for which the period 19 20 began in November, 2008, NGK Insulators, which is an entity 21 organized and existing under the laws of Japan, agreed and 22 conspired to rig bids on ceramic substrates that NGK 23 directly or indirectly supplied to Toyota, GM, and Nissan 24 in the Unites States and elsewhere.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA -V- NGK INSULATORS

Certain officers and employees of NGK had

25

1 discussions with employees of a competitor that also 2 manufactured ceramic substrates. During these meetings, NGK and its competitor reached agreements to fix prices on bids 3 submitted to automobile manufacturers for ceramic 4 5 substrates. As a result of these meetings, NGK produced and sold ceramic substrates that were subject to illegal price 6 fixing agreements between NGK and its competitor. Certain 7 8 of the ceramic substrates that were the subject of the 9 conspiracy were sold in the Eastern District of Michigan. 10 This conspiracy was an unreasonable restraint of interstate 11 and foreign trade and commerce. NGK's supply of ceramic substrates affecting 12 13 Toyota, GM and Nissan in the United States and elsewhere 14 totaled approximately \$250 million in sales during the 15 relevant period. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. And in relation 16 17 to the charge of obstruction how do you think the company has violated that charge? 18 MR. SAJI: Yes, your Honor. 19 20 Between February, 2010, and July, 2012, after 21 learning of governmental investigations of certain auto 22 parts companies, including NGK's co--conspirator as well as 23 NGK, certain high-level executives and other employees took 24 actions to destroy, conceal, or withhold evidence of the

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA -V- NGK INSULATORS

anti-trust conspiracy.

25

1 These actions included deleting and/or attempting 2 to delete electronic files form the defendant's computer systems; destroying and concealing paper files; removing 3 and replacing two high-level executives' office computers; 4 5 removing and concealing electronic files stores on the office computer system of NGK's U.S. subsidiary in the 6 Eastern District of Michigan; attempting to destroy paper 7 files located at the office of this same subsidiary; and 8 9 engaging in misleading conduct and withholding information 10 about the conspiracy and certain of the obstructive acts as 11 I explained. 12 THE COURT: All right, sir. 13 Thank you. 14 Are counsel satisfied that the plea tendered 15 today is sufficient? 16 MR. GAUL: Yes, your Honor. MR. KENDALL: Yes, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: All right. The Court is likewise 18 satisfied that the plea is voluntarily, knowledgeable and 19 20 accurate. The elements of the offense have been established 21 by the testimony received from the corporate representative 22 this afternoon. 23 The Court has been requested by the parties to 24 consider imposing sentence immediately without preparation 25 of a Presentence Investigation Report.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA -V- NGK INSULATORS

GUILTY PLEA AND SENTENCING

#### MONDAY, NOVEMBER 16<sup>TH</sup>, 2015 1 Are you still making that request, Mr. Gaul? 2 MR. GAUL: Yes, your Honor. 3 MR. KENDALL: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. And you both believe that 4 5 the Court has sufficient information to make a meaningful sentencing decision in this case? 6 7 MR. GAUL: Yes, your Honor, with materials in the 8 Rule 11 Plea Agreement including the attachments thereto 9 which your Honor as authorized to be filed under seal, as 10 well as the explanations in the sentencing memorandum I 11 believe the Court has adequate information in order to 12 impose sentence today. 13 THE COURT: All right. 14 MR. BELL: We agree. 15 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. The Court is also satisfied following its review 16 of this information, the method for calculating the 17 quideline range to be a five and the value of the 18 cooperation afforded to the Government by defendant 19 20 corporation that again it can meaningfully consider and weigh the factors provided for in the sentencing statute in 21 22 order to arrive at a sentence. I have had the opportunity 23 to do that. So the Court is prepared to accept the Rule 11 24 Plea Agreement and will address the sentence in a moment. 25 Before doing that, however, I'd be happy to call

GUILTY PLEA AND SENTENCING

#### MONDAY, NOVEMBER 16<sup>TH</sup>, 2015 1 on counsel for any statements you'd like to make concerning 2 the punitive sentence to be imposed? Anybody --MR. KENDALL: I believe MR. SAJI would like to 3 make a brief statement, your Honor. 4 5 THE COURT: All right. On behalf of the corporation, MR. SAJI --6 MR. SAJI: Yes. 7 8 THE COURT: I have received a printed copy of this 9 man's statement, but I'd be happy to have you read it, sir. 10 MR. SAJI: Yes, your Honor. 11 On behalf of NGK, let me state how sincerely we 12 regret the conduct that has led to this proceeding today. 13 The company has taken this matter very seriously. 14 NGK has cooperated extensively with DO's investigation and 15 will continue to do so. NGK has also sought to ensure that 16 this type of conduct does not occur again by implementing 17 an enhanced anti-trust compliance program with strict controls; including incorporating U.S. standards on the 18 role of the board of directors, self-auditing such as email 19 monitoring, robust employee training, and other measures; 20 21 created a new position of Chief Competition Law Compliance 22 Officer to administer the compliance program; and invited 23 to Japan a former Deputy Assistant Attorney General in the DO's Anti-Trust Division to lecture NGK internal board 24 members and executives on U.S. Anti-Trust law. 25

1 I am the new Chief Competition Law Compliance 2 Officer, and I believe these compliance measures will deter any future unlawful conduct. 3 Thank you, very much. 4 5 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir. I'd be happy to call on the Government as well 6 for any comments you'd like to make. I have had the 7 8 opportunity to review the sentencing memorandums submitted. 9 MR. GAUL: Both of comments are set forth in the 10 sentencing memorandum. Let me just highlight a few things. 11 We are moving for a downward departure with the 12 recommended fine based upon the company's past cooperation, its promises for continued cooperation, its substantial 13 14 assistance in pursuing other investigatory subjects that 15 are the subject of sealed Attachment B to the plea agreement. And also for the structural changes that the 16 company has undertaken as well as the institution of its 17 compliance program. 18 And for all of those reasons we are seeking a 19 20 downward departure. 21 THE COURT: All right, sir. Thank you. 22 The first factor for the Court to consider in determining an appropriate sentence in this case is the 23 24 advisory guideline range. In this case, that range has been

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA -V- NGK INSULATORS

calculated based on the degree by which commerce has been

25

1 affected by the violation.

In this case, the volume of commerce is the starting point for that calculation. And that has been agreed upon to amount to about 250 million dollars.

The base fine amount that is applied to that figure is a number that represents 20 percent of the volume or 50 million dollars.

There is a -- there are certain factors that again have been identified in the Rule 11 Plea Agreement and the sentencing memorandum indicating that the culpability score is based upon the calculated score of 11 yielding a range of 100 million to 200 million dollars in criminal fines as the advisory range.

There is an upward adjustment of 8.9 percent that has been applied based upon the sales in the United States that are not accounted for in the initial volume of commerce, but are nevertheless reflective of the culpability of the defendant in the case. That would raise the floor for the sentence to be imposed to one hundred eight point nine million dollars.

And to that figure the company -- the Government has applied a reduction of 40 percent representing the level of assistance it believes has been forthcoming and will be forthcoming. So defendant as a part of its cooperation in the case and also as Mr. Gaul has pointed

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA -V- NGK INSULATORS

out reflective of the comprehensive compliance program and structural changes that were referenced in his remarks.

The Court is satisfied from the information presented that such a motion for a downward departure is appropriate both in terms of the granting of the motion and also in terms of the degree of the downward adjustment that is sought in the case and agreed upon between the parties.

The Court finds as it relates to a sentence adequately reflecting the seriousness of the offense, and the background, characteristics of the company as the offender that the proposed penalty in this case makes sense both as a reflection of the seriousness of the misdeeds committed but also in recognition of the deterrent affect that the imposition of a fine and the amount imposed will have on the defendant corporation and others who might be inclined to commit similar violations as well, both general and specific deterrence.

Those being the -- I guess the driving forces in the determination of the sentence to be imposed the Court finds that the proposed criminal fine is adequate and is sufficient but not greater than necessary to achieve those objectives.

Accordingly, and pursuant to the Sentencing
Reform Act of 1984, the Court will impose a criminal fine
in the amount of 65.3 million dollars to be paid within the

next couple -- within 15 days of the entry of judgment should that occur this afternoon. And as it relates to the possibility of a probationary sentence, the Court is satisfied that there is no need to impose a probationary sentence in this case for several reasons.

First, the question of restitution is agreed upon as well between the parties, is going to be left to the civil process to determine which the Court finds to be superior and more effective than this -- and the alternative which would be this court setting up an entire process for determining and then executing restitution to the various victims of the violations in this case.

And because that cooperation contemplated by the Rule 11 Plea Agreement is still largely to be executed by the defendant under the supervision of the Government it would make no sense to duplicate that supervision here as part of the sentencing decision with either a probationary term or that order of restitution.

Accordingly, the Court will dispense with both.

The Court will, however, in relation to Count One impose a special assessment in the \$400, and in relation to Count Two a like amount of \$400 as a special assessment to be paid in the case.

That will be also -- that money will also be due within 15 days in full along with the criminal fine

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA -V- NGK INSULATORS

#### GUILTY PLEA AND SENTENCING 25 MONDAY, NOVEMBER 16<sup>TH</sup>, 2015 1 imposed. 2 Is there anything else you believe the sentence ought to address, Mr. Gaul? 3 4 MR. GAUL: No, your Honor, we believe that covered 5 everything. 6 THE COURT: Mr. Bell? 7 MR. BELL: We agree. 8 THE COURT: Okay. Well, the Court having accepted 9 the Rule 11 Agreement will not be advising the defendant of 10 an opportunity to appeal the conviction and sentence 11 imposed by the Court so I will not be doing that, but I 12 will wish you good luck, sir. 13 MR. KENDALL: Thank you, your Honor. 14 And we thank you for your flexibility with 15 scheduling it. It was a great imposition on the Court, and 16 it was very helpful. 17 THE COURT: You are welcome. 18 MR. GAUL: Thank you. 19 (Proceedings concluded, 3:00 p.m.) 20 21 22 23 24

GUILTY PLEA AND SENTENCING

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 16<sup>TH</sup>, 2015

### CERTIFICATE I, JOAN L. MORGAN, Official Court Reporter for the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan, appointed pursuant to the provisions of Title 28, United States Code, Section 753, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings were had in the within entitled and number cause of the date hereinbefore set forth, and I do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript has been prepared by me or under my direction. S:/ JOAN L. MORGAN, CSR Official Court Reporter Detroit, Michigan 48226 November 25<sup>th</sup>, 2015

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA -V- NGK INSULATORS